UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,601	10/24/2005	Andrea Zanella	4017-36	4658
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 07/28/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	GETZOW, SCOTT M		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/550,601	ZANELLA, ANDREA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott M. Getzow	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ap	pril 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	—					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) LJ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/550,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oldham (6,865,423).

Oldham teaches a device which can produce bursts of pulses, where the bursts are of constant width, and where the bursts comprise various frequencies of stimulation pulses. Re claim 17, to apply the stimulation to smooth muscle, in place of striated muscle, is considered to be intended use, not a structural limitation. Similarly for the delivery of the 'vasoactive sequence' as set forth. The device of Oldham is *structurally* capable of delivering the stimulation as set forth in claim 17 by manipulation of the various parameters, such as width, frequency, time, etc., that the ordinary artisan would be aware of. As such, all of the limitations of the apparatus claims of applicant are considered to be encompassed by the structural features of Oldham. It should be noted that 'how' and 'why' the device is actually used does not reflect upon the structural features of the invention, but are simply intended uses.

2. Claims 16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mo (6,631,297).

Mo shows a similar device to that of Oldham, and as such can be considered to encompass all of structural features of applicant's claims. The comments made supra re the intended uses set forth in the claims are also considered to apply in view of the Mo patent.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rezai (2002/0116030).

Rezai is used to show explicitly the effect of stimulation in modifying the microcirculation of various smooth muscles in the patient, see for example par. 45. As with the Oldham and Mo devices, the various well known parameters of frequency, width, time, etc. can be modified by the user as he sees fit. Further, the remarks re intended uses of applicant's device, as set forth supra, apply to Rezai as well.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,601 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762